INFLUENCE OF VOCABULARY MASTERY ON READING COMPREHENSION SKILLS FOR NON ENGLISH MAJOR UNIVERSITY STUDENTS IN BATAM

Gaguk Rudianto⁽¹⁾ and Zia Hisni Mubarak⁽²⁾

(1) Universitas Putera Batam, gagukrudianto@gmail.com
(2) Universita Putera Batam, mubarakzia@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

The purpose of this research is to reveal how significant vocabulary mastery to reading comprehension skill for non English Department of EFL Students in Batam island. Method of collecting data used in this research is series of test. They are pre-test and post test. The population of this research is about 4 departments from non English major. They are about 220 samples. Method of sampling by using Taro Yamane formula. There series of test which will be applied such as Paired T- Test and Significance Test. The instrument of the reseach is pre test passage with consist of 20 questions, they are comprehension test and 10 vocabulary test and post test passage with the equal level of difficult and also consist of 10 reading comprehension questions and 10 vocabulary questions.

Keyword: Vocabulary mastery, influence, reading skill

ABSTRAK

Tujuan dari penelitian ini adalah untuk mengungkap bagaimana penguasaan kosakata yang signifikan terhadap keterampilan membaca pemahaman bagi mahasiswa Jurusan Bahasa Inggris non-EFL di Pulau Batam. Metode pengumpulan data yang digunakan dalam penelitian ini adalah serangkaian tes. Mereka adalah pre-test dan post test. Populasi dalam penelitian ini adalah sekitar 4 jurusan dari jurusan non bahasa Inggris. Mereka sekitar 220 sampel. Metode pengambilan sampel dengan menggunakan rumus Taro Yamane. Ada serangkaian tes yang akan diterapkan seperti Paired T- Test dan Significance Test. Instrumen penelitian adalah jalur tes pre yang terdiri dari 20 soal, yaitu tes pemahaman dan 10 tes kosakata dan post test passage dengan tingkat kesulitan yang sama dan juga terdiri dari 10 pertanyaan pemahaman bacaan dan 10 pertanyaan kosakata.

Kata kunci: Penguasaan kosa kata, pengaruh, keterampilan membaca

Journal of English Education Vol. 4 No. 2 December 2018 P-ISSN: 2459-9719, E-ISSN 2597-7091

INTRODUCTION

In learning a language there are four foundational skills which should be mastered by a learner. They are reading, writing, speaking and listening. All skills interitegrated one and another. One can not stand alone because each skill is interdependen and also interinfluence to others. For example speaking skill can not be separated from other such as listening comprehension. How can a learner master speaking well without any ability of listening comprehension? Of course it is impossible because majority speaking practice is in the form of answering or commenting of someone's questions delivered to him.

Since four foundamental skills in learning language are essential so in the process of learning a language in order to master it well, a learner shall combine them at once. It means in the process of learning, a teacher shall be more creative to create class activity where all skills of language involved actively by the learners.

The learner especially English both as second language and foreign language must see English as a whole skills of communication both written and oral.

The four foundamental language skills based on the explanation above are important in mastering a language, yet without vocabulary mastery foundamental skills above mean nothing. How can someone speak, write, listen **English** and read without vocabulary? How can someone speak English if he don't know any single English vocabulary? Wilkins (1972) "without grammar very little can be conveyed, without vocabulary nothing can be conveyed". It means without any vocabulary it is impossible to communicate by using a verbal language.

Vocabulary is no only list of words which must be mastered or list of words printed alphabelically in a dictioanary, but vocabulary is powerful tool of communication in English for Foreign Language (EFL) learners. Vocabulary mastery will decide if the communication can run well or not. Without vocabulary it is impossible for them to communicate one and another. Even sufficient vocabulary, without student cannot express his ideas and thoughts others. Vocabulary learning is the hart of second and/or foreign language acquisition as it enables learner to achieve all form oral and written communication (Tugce Kőse & Mede, 2016)

Despite the fact that the fital role of Vocabulary mastery for English learners but Vocabulary learning used to be a neglected aspect in language learning. English teacher until present condition still search for effective technique to enhance vocabulary learning. Vocabulary learning is more important than familiarity with the form and meaning of a word (Ahmadi Mohammad Reza, 2012).

Vocabulary knowledge is often viewed as a critical tool for foreign language learners because a limited vocabulary in a foreign language impedes successful communication. Underscoring the importance of vocabulary acquisition (Schmitt N., 2000) as quoted by (Alqahtami, 2015)

emphasizes that "lexical knowledge is central to communicative competence and to the acquisition of a second language. From quotation above it states the vocabulary mastery will influence the success of communication. Communication in a foreign language will fail if they do not have sufficient vocabulary to express their ideas and thougts.

Zainal and Ghaderpour (2012) in (Sidek & Rahim, 2015) on the important role of vocabulary knowledge in comprehension performance, they posited that vocabulary learning is central in language acquisition, whether second or foreign language. Researchers have also suggested that knowing word completely should take into consideration a variety of linguistic knowledge ranging pronunciation, from spelling morphology (Haastrup & Henriksen, knowledge of 2000) to antonym, synonym, hyponym and collocational meanings (Chapelle, 1998; Henriksen, 1999). They also stated that vocabulary knowledge is an indicator of language ability and in order to be a competent second or foreign language learner, a great amount of words is a dominant Hence. suchvocabulary factor. knowledge is also required for successful reading comprehension.

Researchers such as (Read. J, 2000), (Gu, 2003), and (Nation, 2011) and others have realised that vocabulary mastery is important for the success of second language learning (ESL) and English as a foreign language (EFL). The role of Vocabulary mastery plays a vital role in all language skills (i.e. listening,

speaking, reading, and writing (Nation, 2011).

Based on Read. J, 2000) and (Zhang, L. J., & Anual, 2008) revealed that significant there is a strong relationship between foreign language learning and vocabulary knowledge. In general, the lack of ability to use strategy in vocabulary learning has affected students" success in foreign language learning (Laufer B, 2003). Vocabulary knowledge in foreign language learning has effects on EFL students" reading and motivation to written recognize a language (Alderson, 2000). Several studies had indicated a significant correlation between vocabulary learning foreign language learning (Qian, 2002)). It has been recognized that vocabulary knowledge plays significant function in foreign language learning. Researchers have found that vocabulary knowledge is a critical predictor of foreign language learning and reading motivation in first and second language learning (Lervåg, A., & Aukrust, 2010). According to (Palincsar, A. S., & Brown, 1985), vocabulary knowledge and reading comprehension significantly are related.

A lot of researchers emphasize on the crucial effects of vocabulary knowledge on reading comprehension. Over the last ten to fifteen years, vocabulary has been considered as a component of language proficiency, both in L1 and L2 language acquisition. Knowledge of words is now considered the most important factor in language proficiency and school success, partly because of its close relation with text comprehension. Without knowledge of words. understanding sentences or texts is not possible. There is agreement among second language researchers vocabulary knowledge is an important component in reading comprehension. As noted, many studies of English as a foreign language have suggested that L2 readers must understand 95% of the words in any text to ensure reasonable reading comprehension of the text. Other researchers have suggested that L2 learners need 98% of word coverage to read un-simplified texts for pleasure (Nation, 2011) in (Anjomshoa Leila and MostafaZamanian, 2014).

(Kuleli, 2015) sated that there is no doubt reading in English and vocabulary knowledge of learners of English as a foreign language are directly related to each other. Anderson and Freebody (1981) in (Kuleli, 2015) also state that it is the general vocabulary knowledge of the reader that best predicts how well that reader understands the text. Nagy (1988) states that vocabulary is fundamental to comprehension of various texts and that vocabulary teaching should be an integral part of language education. While there is a consensus on the importance of vocabulary in reading performance, ideas on how vocabulary should be taught and how much of it should be given to the learners may vary. While some put forward that vocabulary should be taught explicitly in classrooms, some others came up with different ways to teach vocabulary. Nagy, Herman and Anderson (1985) coined the incidental vocabulary learning, stating

that students can learn vocabulary through repeated exposure to those vocabulary items in various contexts, as is the case in native language acquisition. They further add that explicit instruction of vocabulary items cannot account for the multitude of items students learn: therefore. Nagy and Herman (1987) suggested that teachers should expose students to extensive reading, leading to greater vocabulary growth than any other explicit instruction program. While vocabulary is generally seen as the facilitator of reading performance, what Nagy, Herman and Anderson (1985), and Nagy and Herman (1987) suggested is the facilitator of reading in learning vocabulary. Huckin and Coady (1999) also state that incidental vocabulary learning is the key to vocabulary acquisition for reading performance of students. On the other hand, Lee (2003) came up with the finding that explicit instruction of vocabulary based on teachers' directions yielded fruitful results and significantly developed students' reading and writing skills. Taylor et al. (2009) also propose that explicit support for vocabulary besides explicit strategies vocabulary will help students greatly in comprehension of various texts that they encounter. Some others: however, suggest teaching vocabulary in context and that teaching discreet vocabulary items would not be of much benefit to learners. Sternberg (1987) claims that most vocabulary can be learned from context and that teaching students new vocabulary items through contexts can be highly effective and can yield better results for them.

Based on the above statement, the researcher would like to to examine how far the influence of vocabulary mastery on reading comprehension for university students in Batam.

The problems of this research are limited to the influence of vocabulary mastery on reading comprehension for students of university for non English Department in Batam. They are students form UNRIKA at Management Business 1 class, Accounting 1 class and STIKES Awalbros at Midwifery and Nursing Major 2 classes.

Based on the background of the problem above, the problem of this research can be formulated as follow:

- 1. How significant is the influence of the vocabulary mastery on reading comprehension in non English major of University students in Batam island?
- 2. How is the influence of vocabulary mastery on reading comprehension in non English major of University students in Batam island?

THEORETICAL BACKGROUND

The hot issue related to the relationship between vocabulary knowledge and reading is how much vocabulary and what vocabulary should be taught to students to facilitate their reading comprehension. Zhang and Anual (2008) in (Kuleli, 2015) reported at least 2000-3000 words in a foreign language was correlated to reading comprehension for short answer tasks. While Laufer (1992) suggested that 3000 words predicted reading performance of students best. Saragi, Nation and Meister (1978) state

that a learner of English needs to know at least 3600 words to be able to understand unsimplified texts English. Hu and Nation (2000) propose that in order the learners understand an English text without help form others, at least 98% of the words in this text need to be known by them, which means that if there are 100 words in the reading text, the students must know at least 98 of the words. Nassaji (2004) in (Kuleli, 2015) found that lexical inferencing skills and strategies of students were significantly related to the depth of vocabulary. Therefore, comprehension of a text depends more on the depth of vocabulary rather than the number of words a reader knows in a text. On what vocabulary to teach to the learners of English, one of the most famous corpora belongs to West (1953) who came up with General Service List containing about 2000 high-frequency words of English. Nation (2004) claims that the first 2000 words from British national Corpus are more suited to teaching students because 80-90% of those 2000 words are encountered in texts. As is the case in how to teach vocabulary, there is no consensus on what words to teach, either. Besides general vocabulary, learners of English could also be taught the academic words of English. For this, Nation and Chung (2009) propose the use of the Academic Word List by Coxhead (2000). Furthermore. Nation Chung (2009) also suggest teaching technical and low-frequency words so that 98% of coverage could be met by

learners of English in reading. Hirsh and Nation (1992) also reported the need for a thorough acquisition of more than 3000 words by learners of English for reading texts. Besides the number of words and the quality of words to teach, the issue of how deeply words should be taught has become one of the hotly debated issues in recent decades. Qian (1999) put forward that in addition to breadth of vocabulary, there should be another dimension of vocabulary teaching, and he coined the term "depth of vocabulary" to refer to that dimension, which could involve such components as pronunciation, spelling, register, frequency, meaning, and morphological, syntactic, and collocational properties, each interacting the others so that the best comprehension can be achieved. Qian (2002) states that vocabulary items are acquired in an incremental manner, which refers to the fact that the earlier an item is learned, the deeper the learner knows this word. Even though Qian (2002) does not rule out the importance of the number of words a learner knows, he stresses the importance of depth of vocabulary in guessing the meaning of unknown words in a text, thereby rendering the text more comprehensible. A lot of studies can be found in literature regarding the relationship breadth of vocabulary and size and vocabulary, and their effects on reading performance. The present study also sets out to find the relationship between the size of vocabulary and depth vocabulary besides the effects of those two notions on EFL students' reading performance. This study is innovative in

that it aims to come up with a clear understanding of which one of those

TEACHING VOCABULARY

Learning English without vocabulary is almost impossible; even in the daily communication between human beings is based on mastery of words. Both teachers and students agree that acquisition of the vocabulary is a central factor in teaching a language (Walter & J, 2004). **Teaching** vocabulary is one of the most discussed parts of teaching English as a foreign language. The problems of teaching vocabulary faced by the teacher is how to make the students understand about vocabulary material they are teaching. To gain in it is not easy even the teacher should make extra preparation with appropriate and up-to-date technique which will make the students athuasistic and easy to understand it.

Because of this condition so many teachers still confused to decide the best teaching strategy for teaching vocabulary. This condition happens because every strategy has its strength and weaknesses. Yet one of innovative strategy in teaching vocabulary is called "The Ripple Effect." This method has been successfully implemented after Mu Fengying of China has conducted his research.

Base on Sweetser (1990) in (Anuthama, 2010) The Ripple Effect is based on polysemy. It usually has a core meaning and all the other meanings come from it by means of a metaphor. The word-meaning expansion works like a ripple, which

starts from a centre and extends outward. The centre or the core may denote a physical entity in the material world with a clear focus. This is extended to refer to other physical entities which it resembles. Finally, its meaning may be broadened to abstract ideas by a metaphor.

English word usually have more than one meanings and they usually relationship each other. The Ripple Effect uses the core meaning of a word and develope or expand it. This can be seen from the following example the core meaning of "green" which is the colour of growing grass. This meaning expands to describe anything which has that colour such as "greens," "greenery," "greenfly," "green signal" etc. It further expands to abstract ideas like the ones associated with "greenhouse," "green revolution," "greenbelt," etc. (Anuthama, 2010).

THEORY OF READING

Reading is an activity to understand, analyze, and interpret conducted by the reader to get a message delivered by the author in writing media. Reading activities include reading aloud and reading silently. Reading aloud is the reading that is done by reading out loudly in public. While the activities of silent reading is reading carefully done to understand the intent or purpose of the author in the written media. The process of reading aloud is often used by someone to deliver ideas against others by reading the existing text. Reading with this method is done in the form of story speeches, telling, sermons, debates. discussions, interviews, and all the activities that deal about delivery in

public. Silent reading is reading carefully done to understand the intent or purpose of the author in the written media. Reading silently covers two aspects, namely the extensive reading and intensive reading. Extensive reading is the initial stage where the reader is required to be surveyed or assess reading at first glance like any superficial reading. While intensive reading an advanced stage to be able to understand the content and understand the context in which the language used in writing. Most activities are mostly done reading from paper. Stone or chalk on a blackboard can also be read. Computer display can also be read. Reading is an activity undertaken by a person in how to search for a text or reading whether sourced from nonelectronic and electronic media. The function of reading is depends on who is doing it. For the student generally reading purpose is to gain a deeper understanding (greater understanding). For some people reading function to get information up-todate and for some others reading is done for personal enjoyment or hobbies. In a simple sense of reading is to recognize letters and a collection of letters that have a particular meaning which express ideas in writing or printed.

Anderson et al. (1985) in (Sabouri, 2016) defined reading as the process of making meaning from written texts. It needs the harmony of a lot of related sources of information. According to Wixson, Peters, Weber, and Roeber (1987), reading is the process of creating meaning that involves: (a) the reader's existing knowledge; (b) the

text information; and (c) the reading context. Grabe (1991 as cited in Alyousef 2005) defined reading as an interactive process between readers and texts that result in reading fluency. Readers interact with texts as they try to extract meaning there are different types and linguistic knowledge: or systemic knowledge (bottom-up processing) and schematic knowledge (top-down processing). Pourhosein Gilakjani and Ahmadi (2011) stated that the main goal of reading is to gain the correct message from a text that the writer intended for the reader to receive.

There are several types of reading that can be done by someone. In terms of the sound or not sound reader, the reading process is divided into reading aloud and reading silently. Tarin (2008: 23), reading aloud is an activity which is a tool for teachers, students, or any reader together with others or listeners to capture and understand information, thoughts, and feelings of the author. Silent reading is read along silently. Furthermore, it is said that reading in the liver can be divided into two, namely (1) reading extensively and (2) intensive reading. Both types are reading this, have separate sections. The division is as follows.a. Extensive reading is to read as much as possible the text reading in the shortest possible time (Tarin, 2008: 32). extensively Objective reading understand the important content quickly fficiently. Extensive reading include, (1) read the survey (survey reading), (2) skimming (skimming), and (3) read shallow (superficial reading).

b. Intensive reading (intensive reading) covers, read and study the contents of the

study of language. Read the review of the content consists of, (1) read carefully, (2) reading comprehension, (3) critical reading, and (4) read ideas (Tarin, 2008: 40).

There are some previous researches such as The effect of vocabulary size and vocabulary depth on reading in EFL context written by Yusuf Sena and Mesut Kulelia The aim of this study is to determine the effects of vocabulary size and vocabulary depth on reading performance in EFL context. To this end, Vocabulary Size Test by Nation and Beglar (2007), Words Associate Test by Read (1998), and a reading performance test, the reliability of which was found to be .81 administered 361 were university students. In the analysis of the data, linear regression and Pearson correlation statistics were used. The results showed that vocabulary size and vocabulary depth were both significantly correlated to reading performance, but vocabulary depth predicted reading performance better (Kuleli, 2015).

METHOD OF COLLECTING DATA

This research uses interdisciplinair in analyzing influencial factors. This research uses quantitative method with the characteristics of collected data are in the form of numbers. Quatitative research takes distance between the researcher and the object of the research. This research uses formal, standard and measurable instrument. This research will measure the

influence of vocabulary mastery of non English university students in Batam.

VARIABLES OF THE RESEARCH

Variables relationship in this research is namely cause causal, and characteristic. There is an independent dan dependent variables. Independent variable (the variable which influences) in this research is vocabulary mastery (X_1) , while dependent variable (variable which influenced) is is reading comprehension skill (Y₁)

LOCATION OF THE REARCH

This research is conducted in several universities in Batam island. University of Kepulauan Riau, Putera Batam University and Stikes Awalbros. The location selection is based on some considerations (1) All of them are the major university in Batam island. (2) The accreditation of them are various from A to C.

POPULATION AND SAMPLINGS

Population of this research are all university students from non English department, They are as Student form UNRIKA in Teacher Training and Education at non English Department, Putera Batam University also at Business and Management major and Sikes Awalbros at nursing and Midwifery majors. They are about 220 respondents. Sample is part of total characteristics of the population in research.

METHOD OF COLLECTING DATA

The data of this research is collected by distributing instrument of the research namely pre-test and post test questions lists for respondents. The instrument of this research is question sheet which has been designed for the purpose of the research.

Method in collecting the data is conducted by first the researcher distributes pre test without any explanation of vocabulary. After the students finish the test, they will be graded as pre test data. Whithin a week, the researcher distrubute post test but with the same difficulties. Yet, before this test, the researcher gives explanation about vocabulary of the reading passage. After the students do the test, the will be graded and the result become post test data.

After all data collected, then they are compared to get the description about how significance the influence of vocabulary mastery on the reading skill for university students in Batam island. And also to know how big the grade increase if vocabulary explained.

TECNIQUE OF ANALYSING DATA

In this research, technique of data analysis which are applied as follow:

a. Hypothesis Test

Hythesis of this research is to prove if vocabulary mastery has positive and significant influence on reading comprehension skill

b. Paired T-Test

This tes is to compare the grade of the students in pretest and post test. It is usefull for determining if there is a difference change in the mean score between measurement time.

c. Significance Test

This test is to know the significance influence of variable X (Vocabulary Mastery) on Y (Reading comprehension skill)

Hyphotesis from this research
Ho: there is no change between
posttest and pretest grades
Ha: There is a difference between
median of posttest and pretest grades
Before assigning the test, the process
of validation is done by experts—
validator of writing skill and validator
of class participation—to know
whether the instrument is valid or not.

ANALYSING DATA

Table 4.1 Student Grade on Reading comprehension

| NO | GRADE | | NO | GRADE | | No | GRADE | | |
|----|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----|-----------|-----------|--|
| | Pre-Test | Post-Test | _ | Pre-Test | Post-Test | | Pre-Test | Post-Test | |
| 1 | 60 | 65 | 75 | 60 | 60 | 148 | 75 | 85 | |
| 2 | 60 | 65 | 76 | 50 | 70 | 149 | 85 | 90 | |
| 3 | 70 | 75 | 77 | 75 | 85 | 150 | 50 | 50 | |
| 4 | 80 | 85 | 78 | 75 | 80 | 151 | 70 | 75 | |
| 5 | 80 | 90 | 79 | 60 | 75 | 152 | 70 | 80 | |
| 6 | 75 | 85 | 80 | 75 | 85 | 153 | 55 | 65 | |
| 7 | 75 | 80 | 81 | 50 | 60 | 154 | 75 | 85 | |
| 8 | 75 | 85 | 82 | 75 | 95 | 155 | 75 | 80 | |
| 9 | 50 | 55 | 83 | 50 | 55 | 156 | 80 | 90 | |
| 10 | 50 | 50 | 84 | 70 | 75 | 157 | 85 | 95 | |
| 11 | 50 | 65 | 85 | 50 | 55 | 158 | 80 | 95 | |
| 12 | 85 | 95 | 86 | 55 | 60 | 159 | 55 | 60 | |
| 13 | 80 | 85 | 87 | 60 | 65 | 160 | 85 | 95 | |
| 14 | 75 | 75 | 88 | 65 | 75 | 161 | 50 | 55 | |
| 15 | 65 | 75 | 89 | 75 | 85 | 162 | 60 | 75 | |
| 16 | 65 | 80 | 90 | 55 | 65 | 163 | 75 | 85 | |
| 17 | 60 | 70 | 91 | 55 | 60 | 164 | 70 | 75 | |
| 18 | 55 | 65 | 92 | 70 | 80 | 165 | 75 | 90 | |
| 19 | 75 | 75 | 93 | 75 | 80 | 166 | 60 | 70 | |
| 20 | 60 | 75 | 94 | 50 | 55 | 167 | 65 | 70 | |
| 21 | 65 | 75 | 95 | 50 | 50 | 168 | 70 | 75 | |
| 22 | 55 | 55 | 96 | 60 | 75 | 169 | 75 | 85 | |
| 23 | 50 | 60 | 97 | 60 | 75 | 170 | 60 | 65 | |
| 24 | 75 | 90 | 98 | 60 | 70 | 171 | 65 | 80 | |
| 25 | 85 | 90 | 99 | 55 | 55 | 172 | 80 | 90 | |
| 26 | 60 | 70 | 100 | 55 | 65 | 173 | 75 | 85 | |
| 27 | 60 | 60 | 101 | 75 | 90 | 174 | 80 | 85 | |
| 28 | 60 | 65 | 102 | 75 | 80 | 175 | 60 | 65 | |

Journal of English Education Vol. 4 No. 2 December 2018 P-ISSN: 2459-9719, E-ISSN 2597-7091

| 29 | 75 | 80 | 103 | 75 | 75 | 176 | 70 | 75 |
|-----------|----|-----------|-----|-----------|----|-----|-----------|----|
| 30 | 75 | 85 | 104 | 70 | 80 | 177 | 80 | 85 |
| 31 | 60 | 60 | 105 | 75 | 85 | 178 | 80 | 90 |
| 32 | 65 | 70 | 106 | 85 | 95 | 179 | 75 | 85 |
| 33 | 70 | 75 | 107 | 85 | 95 | 180 | 75 | 80 |
| 34 | 70 | 80 | 108 | 60 | 65 | 181 | 75 | 85 |
| 35 | 50 | 50 | 109 | 40 | 40 | 182 | 50 | 55 |
| 36 | 85 | 95 | 110 | 55 | 65 | 183 | 50 | 50 |
| 37 | 60 | 60 | 111 | 70 | 75 | 184 | 50 | 65 |
| 38 | 85 | 95 | 112 | 75 | 80 | 185 | 85 | 95 |
| 39 | 50 | 55 | 113 | 80 | 90 | 186 | 80 | 85 |
| 40 | 60 | 75 | 114 | 65 | 75 | 187 | 75 | 75 |
| 41 | 75 | 85 | 115 | 60 | 65 | 188 | 65 | 75 |
| 42 | 75 | 75 | 116 | 70 | 85 | 189 | 65 | 80 |
| 43 | 75 | 90 | 117 | 70 | 75 | 190 | 60 | 70 |
| 44 | 60 | 65 | 118 | 70 | 80 | 191 | 55 | 65 |
| 45 | 65 | 70 | 119 | 50 | 55 | 192 | 75 | 75 |
| 46 | 70 | 75 | 120 | 80 | 90 | 193 | 60 | 75 |
| 47 | 75 | 90 | 121 | 55 | 55 | 194 | 65 | 75 |
| 48 | 60 | 65 | 122 | 55 | 65 | 195 | 55 | 55 |
| 49 | 70 | 80 | 123 | 65 | 70 | 196 | 50 | 60 |
| 50 | 80 | 90 | 124 | 65 | 70 | 197 | 75 | 90 |
| 51 | 75 | 80 | 125 | 65 | 65 | 198 | 85 | 90 |
| 52 | 80 | 85 | 126 | 70 | 80 | 199 | 60 | 70 |
| 53 | 50 | 50 | 127 | 55 | 60 | 200 | 60 | 60 |
| 54 | 50 | 60 | 128 | 80 | 90 | 201 | 60 | 65 |
| 55 | 50 | 65 | 129 | 75 | 85 | 202 | 75 | 80 |
| 56 | 65 | 80 | 130 | 75 | 80 | 203 | 75 | 85 |
| 57 | 65 | 70 | 131 | 50 | 55 | 204 | 60 | 60 |
| 58 | 70 | 85 | 132 | 75 | 80 | 205 | 65 | 70 |
| 59 | 70 | 75 | 133 | 80 | 85 | 206 | 70 | 75 |
| 60 | 75 | 85 | 134 | 55 | 75 | 207 | 70 | 80 |
| 61 | 60 | 60 | 135 | 65 | 70 | 208 | 50 | 50 |
| 62 | 60 | 75 | 136 | 65 | 70 | 209 | 85 | 95 |
| 63 | 60 | 60 | 137 | 65 | 75 | 210 | 60 | 60 |
| 64 | 65 | 75 | 138 | 75 | 85 | 211 | 85 | 95 |
| 65 | 80 | 90 | 139 | 80 | 85 | 212 | 50 | 55 |
| 66 | 80 | 85 | 140 | 80 | 90 | 213 | 60 | 75 |
| 67 | 65 | 65 | 141 | 75 | 75 | 214 | 75 | 85 |
| 68 | 70 | 80 | 142 | 75 | 85 | 215 | 75 | 75 |
| 69 | 80 | 90 | 143 | 50 | 50 | 216 | 75 | 90 |
| 70 | 55 | 60 | 144 | 75 | 80 | 217 | 60 | 65 |
| 71 | 80 | 90 | 145 | 75 | 80 | 218 | 65 | 70 |
| 72 | 80 | 85 | 146 | 55 | 60 | 219 | 70 | 75 |
| 73 | 55 | 65 | 147 | 60 | 80 | 220 | 75 | 90 |
| 74 | 60 | 70 | | | | | | |
| | | - | | | | | | |

From the data above, the researcher conducted Smirnov test to know whether the data is normal or not. The

result of the test can be seen in the following table

Table 4.2 Smirnov Test

One-Sample Kolmogorov-Smirnov Test

| | • | Pre | Post |
|-----------------------------------|----------------|----------|----------|
| N | - | 220 | 220 |
| Normal Parameters ^{a,,b} | Mean | 66.8636 | 74.4773 |
| | Std. Deviation | 10.55231 | 12.13788 |
| Most Extreme | Absolute | .157 | .121 |
| Differences | Positive | .124 | .087 |
| | Negative | 157 | 121 |
| Kolmogorov-Smirnov Z | | 2.328 | 1.789 |
| Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed) | | .000 | .003 |

a. Test distribution is Normal.

From N sample data above is 220 respondents for both pretest and post test. The mean of the Pretest is 65.65 while the mean of post test is 72.50 with the standard of defiation 99.896 for pretest and 12.50 for post test. The data is normal because the Kolmogorov Score is less than table value

Table 4.3 Pired T-test

Paired Samples Statistics

Paired Samples Statistics

| | | Mean | N | Std. Deviation | Std. Error Mean |
|--------|------|---------|-----|----------------|--------------------|
| Pair 1 | pre | 66.8636 | 220 | 10.55231 | .71144 |
| | post | 74.4773 | 220 | 12.13788 | .81834 |

From above table can be seen the for N sample of 220, the mean of pretest of 66.86 has increased by 74.4773 with error mean .71144for pretest and .81834 for post test

Journal of English Education Vol. 4 No. 2 December 2018 P-ISSN: 2459-9719, E-ISSN 2597-7091

b. Calculated from data.

Table 4.4 Correlation Table

Paired Samples Correlations

| | | N | Correlation | Sig. |
|--------|------------|-----|-------------|------|
| Pair 1 | pre & post | 220 | .935 | .000 |

Correlation: Value of corelagtion of the two variables. The correlation is 0.935 means there is a strong and positive correlation.

Sig.: Significance degree: 0.138 means significance at level 218 Df: degree of freedom

: for analysis of T Paired always N-1. Where N is total number if sample.

Table 4.5 Paired Sample Test

Paired Samples Test

| _ | Paired Differences | | | | | t | df | Sig. (2-tailed) |
|--------------|--------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|---|----------|-------|-----|-----------------|
| | Mean | Std. Deviation | Std. Error Mean | 95% Confidence Interval of the Difference | | | | |
| | | | | Lower Upper | | | | |
| Pair 1 pre - | - | 4.36474 | .29427 | - | -7.03367 | - | 219 | .000 |
| post | 7.613 | | | 8.1936 | | 25.87 | | |
| | 64 | | | 0 | | 3 | | |

Hypothesis of the research is whether

Ho: There is no difference of median value of the pre test and post test

H1: There is difference value of median between pretest and post test

T Count 25.873 compared to T table df 219 (1.6449)

If T count > than T table it is significant

CONCLUSION

After conducting this research, it can be influence concluded that the of vocabulary mastery reading on comprehension skill is significant because reading comprehension skill depens on how much vocabulary the learner master. The more vocabulary the student knows, the easier for them o understand English passage.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

Ahmadi Mohammad Reza. (2012). Improving Vocabulary Learning in Foreign Language Learning Through Reciprocal Teaching Strategy. *Macrothing Institute International Journal of Learning & Development, Vol* 2(No. 6), 186–201.

Alderson, C. (2000). Assessing

- *reading*. Cambridge: Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Alqahtami, M. (2015). The Importance of Vocabulary in Language Learning and How to be Taught. *International Journal of Teaching and Education, III*(3), 21–31.
- Anjomshoa Leila and MostafaZamanian. (2014). The Effect of Vocabulary Knowledge on Reading Comprehension of Iranian EFL Learners in Kerman Azad University. International Journal on Studies in English Language and Literature (IJSELL), 2(5), 90–95.
- Anuthama, B. (2010). Strategies for Teaching Vocabulary. *Journal of NELTA*, *15*(1), 10–15.
- Gu, Y. (2003). Fine brush and freehand: The vocabulary learning art of two successful Chinese EFL learners. *TESOL Quarterly*, *37*, *73-104*., *37*(73), 104.
- Kuleli, M. (2015). The effect of vocabulary size and vocabulary depth on reading in EFL context, 199, 555–562. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2015.07.546
- Laufer B. (2003). Vocabulary acquisition in a second language: Do learners really acquire more vocabulary by reading? Some empirical evidence. *Applied Linguistics*, *19*, 255–271.
- Lervåg, A., & Aukrust, V. G. (2010). Vocabulary knowledge is a critical determinant of the difference in reading comprehension growth

- between first and second language learners. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, 51(5), 612-620, 51(5), 612-616.
- Nation, I. S. P. (2011). Learning vocabulary in another language.

 Cambridge: Cambridge
 University Press.
- Palincsar, A. S., & Brown, A. L. (1985). *Activities to promote*New York.
- Qian, D. (2002). Investigating the relationship between vocabulary knowledge and academic reading Performance (No. 52).
- Read. J. (2000). Assessing vocabulary.

 Cambridge: Cambridge
 University Press.
- Sabouri, N. B. (2016). How Can Students Improve Their Reading Comprehension Skill? *Journal of Studies in Education*, 6(2), 229–240.
- Schmitt N. (2000). *Vocabulary in language teaching*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Sidek, H. M., & Rahim, H. A. (2015). The Role of Vocabulary Knowledge in Reading Comprehension: Cross-Linguistic Study. Procedia -Social and Behavioral Sciences, 197(February), 50-56. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro. 2015.07.046
- Tugce Kőse, E. Ćimen and, & Mede,

- E. (2016). Perception of EFL Learner about Using an Online Tool for Vocabulary Learning in EFL Classroom: A Pilot Project in Turkey. *Procedia Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 232, 362–372. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2016.10.051
- Walter, & J. (2004). "Teaching the use of context to infer meaning: A longitudinal survey of L1 and L2 vocabulary research." *Language Teaching*, *37*(4), 243–252.
- Zhang, L. J., & Anual, S. B. (2008). The role of vocabulary in reading comprehension: The case of secondary school students learning English in Singapore. *RELC Journal*, *39*(1), 51–76.